



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

*[Handwritten Signature]*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,448	10/06/2001	Koya Suzuki	5000-4960	4076
7590	10/16/2003		EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154			GARCIA, ERNESTO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/972,448	SUZUKI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Ernesto Garcia	3679

**All Participants:**

(1) Ernesto Garcia.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steven F. Meyer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 October 2003
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*n/a*
**Claims discussed:**
*n/a*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*n/a*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called the applicant to discuss the withdrawn claims that now depend from amended claim 1. The attorney is looking into this matter and the examiner is awaiting Mr. Meyer's call.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 LYNN H. BROWNE  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3620

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)